

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

SAINT TORRANCE,	:	Case No. 1:08-cv-403
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Beckwith, C. J.
	:	Black, M.J.
vs.	:	
	:	
CITIFINANCIAL MORTGAGE,	:	
COMPANY, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹ THAT PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS AGAINST
DEFENDANTS EXPERIAN AND TRANSUNION BE DISMISSED, AND THIS CASE
CLOSED, PURSUANT TO THE PENDING SHOW CAUSE ORDER (Doc. 116)**

The Court previously entered a Show Cause Order in this matter, and advised plaintiff that his claims against Experian and Transunion would be dismissed if he failed to timely comply with the Order. (Doc. 116.) In view of the fact that the time deadline set forth in the Show Cause Order has passed without a responsive filing being made by plaintiff, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that plaintiff's claims against Experian and TransUnion be **DISMISSED** and this case **CLOSED**. *See Habib v. General Motors Corp.*, 15 F.3d 72, 73 (6th Cir. 1994); *United States v. Gluklick*, 801 F.2d 834, 836-37 (6th Cir. 1986).

Date: October 20, 2009

s/Timothy S. Black
Timothy S. Black
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Attached hereto is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendation.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

SAINT TORRANCE,	:	Case No. 1:08-cv-403
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Beckwith, C. J.
	:	Black, M.J.
vs.	:	
	:	
CITIFINANCIAL MORTGAGE,	:	
COMPANY, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

NOTICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to this Report & Recommendation (“R&R”) within **FIFTEEN (15) DAYS** of the filing date of this R&R. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion by either side for an extension of time. All objections shall specify the portion(s) of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. A party shall respond to an opponent’s objections within **TEN (10) DAYS** after being served with a copy of those objections. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).